

MONITORING REPORT ON PROJECT VISIT

PROJECT ID AND TITLE: 00081883 – Civil Justice Reform: Effective Court Management
PROJECT DURATION: 2012 (Jun) – 2014 (it is expected that this project will be re-formulated this year and extended up to the end of 2016)

DATE OF THE VISIT: 28 February

LOCATION OF THE VISIT: Project Office in the Supreme Court of Uzbekistan (Tashkent city)

SOURCE FUNDING: N/A

PURPOSE OF THE VISIT: Round table dedicated to discussion of issues on implementation of information-communication technologies (ICT) into the civil courts' activities.

UNDP TEAM: Aziza Umarova, Head of Good Governance Unit, Akmal Bazarov, Programme coordinator on Public Administration

OTHER PARTNERS: Judicial Department of the Ministry of Justice, Prosecutor General's Office, the Bar Chamber, judges, practicing lawyers, National TV channels, etc.

BENEFICIARIES MET: N/A

1. PROJECT MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE ISSUES

	YES	NO	N/A	FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS
1. GENERAL				
• Project office environment & working conditions are adequate	X			
2. ATLAS UPDATES				
<i>Before visiting the project, the programme focal point has to visit the Executive Snapshot/ATLAS Project Management Module</i>				
• Progress report is updated in ATLAS	X			
• Risk logs is updated in ATLAS by PM and management response by Programme Officer / programme focal point	X			
• Lessons learned are reflected appropriately (offline in the programme files)	X			
If ATLAS updates are not available, the project visit will help to identify why the updates are not made in timely manner by the Project manager and team				
3. FINANCIAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE MANAGEMENT <i>[to be completed at least once a year per project, these issues are monitored to ensure that minimum project requirements are met]</i>				
E-filing System is established and maintained in compliance with UNDP procedures	X			
• MINIMUM DOCUMENTS must be available electronically: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Copy of the signed Prodoc with TORs for all project staff 	X			

possible, may wish to change the target to one that it more realistic and achievable.
Beneficiaries met (2-3) to assess the work of the project?
Judges, lawyers and staff of pilot Zangiata inter-district civil court
Synergies with other projects/programmes?
UNDP Civil Justice Reform Project has partnered with the LGSP-2 on cooperation with the UNICON State company.
Partnership arrangements with national and international agencies?
UNDP Civil Justice Reform Project has partnered with the LGSP-2 on cooperation with the UNICON State company. Moreover, it is expected that there will be partnership built with the USAID and project will be expended.
Follow-up on mid-term review/evaluation findings (if any)?
Recommendations given in evaluation have been taken into account during a new project document formulation.
Gender mainstreaming - all data collected during project is disaggregated by gender (list of participations, research baselines, etc.)?
Yes, where possible.
Verification of the reported results (annual or quarterly reports)? Data collection methods assured?
Verified by international evaluator and national partners. The evaluation was not scientific and the methodology adopted was multi-faceted, being based on a series of informational meetings held with all partners and stakeholders, internet and desk based research and a visit to the pilot court, the Inter-District Zangiata Court, in Tashkent region.

3. PROJECT PERFORMANCE (IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES)

List the main implementation challenges and propose a way forward (concerning general issues that are not related to specific outputs).
Judges, court staff and general population are not fully ready for implementation of E-Court system to the practice. Project should concentrate its PR efforts primarily among professionals and legal entities.

4. LESSONS LEARNED

Describe briefly <u>key lessons learned</u> observed during the project implementation / monitoring visit (successes, shortcomings and recommended solutions).
Project`s planned activities should not be changed seriously by UNDP or the national partner during a year as the change of project`s plans and expectations could be problematic to achieve project target for this year. Project staff and management must be put on place and respected by the UNDP CO.

5. FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS

Follow-up actions [concludes and summarizes the above sections into actions points]	Responsible person and date of completion
For now it is too early to make conclusion in order to take actions.	N/A

Prepared by: Akmal Bazarov, GGU, UNDP